

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/534,826	01/09/2006	Minoru Akaishi	052514	8864	
38834 WESTERMAI	7590 07/14/200 N, HATTORI, DANIEL	EXAM	EXAMINER		
1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW			STALDER,	STALDER, MELISSA A	
SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
1101111101011100			1793		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/14/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/534,826	AKAISHI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MELISSA STALDER	1793	

	MELISSA STALDER	1793	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 29 June 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP			
<ol> <li>M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following i application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period value of 27 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earmed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the filed with th	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t     (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor     (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	ΓE below);	
<ul> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in beti appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	ter form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	owable if submitted in a separate, i	imely filed amendmer	it canceling the
<ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [     how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided.</li> </ol>		l be entered and an ex	planation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	to provide a
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.
11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
See Continuation Sheet.	DTO(OD(O) D N ( )		
<ul> <li>12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (</li> <li>13. Other:</li> </ul>	P10/S8/08) Paper No(s)		
/Melvin Curtis Mayes/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1793			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments do not overcome the combination of references. Further, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to apply these teachings to a natural diamond and Yazu teaches use of a diamond. Applicant argues that it would not have been obvious to combine references although Yazu does teach a superior method of sintering and the references use diamonds or diamond equivalents. Further, the other references teache methods of preparing ultrafine diamonds and diamonds to be used as abrasives.

Applicant aruges that the grading range limitation has not been addressed. However, Hiraki teaches the grading range of the diamond powder for desilication treatment. in both the background and Examples 2 and 3.